



The Role of Mass Media in the Dynamics of Law Enforcement in the Information Age

Irma Dani¹, Armadila¹, Reno Andika²

¹STAI YDI Lubuk Sikaping Pasaman Barat, Indonesia

²STIT YPI Al-Yaqin Muaro Sijunjung, Indonesia

✉ irmadani1403@gmail.com*

Article Information:

Received February 15, 2025

Revised March 28, 2025

Accepted April 19, 2025

Keywords: *Mass media, law enforcement, public opinion, information era, transparency, journalistic ethics*

Abstract

The information age has brought significant changes to the relationship between the mass media and the law enforcement system. The media no longer merely serves as a channel for disseminating news but has evolved into a social actor capable of shaping public opinion, pressuring legal institutions, and influencing the course of judicial proceedings. This article aims to comprehensively examine the role of the mass media in the dynamics of law enforcement in the digital age, by analysing the positive and negative impacts of media involvement in legal processes. This study employs a descriptive qualitative approach through literature review and content analysis of several high-profile legal cases that have received extensive coverage from online and print media. The results of the study show that the mass media has six main roles in this context, namely as i) a disseminator of legal information, ii) a tool for social control over the performance of legal institutions, iii) a shaper of public opinion, iv) a catalyst for public participation, a facilitator of advocacy processes, and as v) an actor with the potential to create public pressure through the phenomenon of trial by media. Therefore, the implications of this study emphasise the importance of strengthening journalistic ethics, regulating legal content in the media, and improving public legal literacy so that the mass media can truly become a constructive partner in supporting the rule of law in the global information era.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of information technology in the last two decades has radically changed the global communications landscape. The information era, characterized by openness, speed, and accessibility of data, has made both conventional and digital mass media play a strategic role in shaping public perception, including in issues related to law enforcement (Helmalia et al., 2020). The mass media is now not just a news provider, but a social actor that has the ability to influence the direction of public opinion, shape narratives, and even indirectly intervene in ongoing legal processes.

How to cite:

Dani, I., Armadila., Andika, R. (2025). The Role of Mass Media in the Dynamics of Law Enforcement in the Information Age. *Journal of Islamic Communication and Journalism*, 1(1), 30-39.

E-ISSN:

XXXX-XXXX

Published by:

The Institute for Research and Community Service

Amidst increasing demands for transparency and public accountability, the mass media often becomes the main channel in overseeing the legal process and opening public access to information that was previously only in formal spaces (Ediz et al., 2025). However, the power of the media also carries risks, namely the occurrence of disinformation, biased framing, and phenomena *trial by media* which can shift the principle of presumption of innocence and independence of law enforcement agencies. This raises ethical and legal dilemmas: on the one hand, the media is expected to be a partner in strengthening the rule of law; on the other hand, without strong regulation and ethics, the media can become a force that disrupts the balance of justice (Al Mustaqim et al., 2024; Pakina & Solekhan, 2024).

The rapid development of information and communication technology in the 21st century, especially in the form of traditional and digital mass media, has changed the way we interact with the world and affects almost all aspects of life, including law enforcement. Mass media, once limited to radio, television, and newspapers, has now expanded through the internet and social media, offering wider reach and speed in the dissemination of information. With the presence of digital platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and YouTube, people can now easily access, share, and communicate about various issues, including legal and judicial topics (Sinaga & Zaluchu, 2021; Fatimah & Widowati, 2024). The mass media plays a very important role in disseminating information about various aspects of social, political, and legal life that can influence public opinion and decisions taken by the authorities. In the context of law enforcement, the mass media has two main functions.

First, the media functions as a tool for disseminating information related to the legal process, including news about the implementation of the law, government policies, court decisions, and various legal issues that occur in society. The mass media can accelerate the process of legal education for the community, provide an understanding of their rights and obligations in the legal system, and increase awareness of the importance of fair law enforcement (Addila & Nurcahyono, 2025; Putra et al., 2025). Second, the mass media also functions as a supervisor of law enforcement agencies. In this case, the media acts as a social control by revealing irregularities in the legal system, reporting cases that are considered non-transparent, or criticizing legal policies that are considered inconsistent with the principles of justice.

However, behind the great potential of the media in influencing law enforcement, great challenges also arise, especially in this era of very rapid information. One of the main problems is the spread of inaccurate information or hoaxes that can affect public perception and even shake public trust in the legal system. Hoax news, incorrect information, or the spread of unverified issues can cause public confusion, damage the reputation of individuals involved in legal cases, and hinder the legal process itself (Enggarratri, 2017). In addition, media bias can also play a role in shaping public opinion that supports or harms certain parties in legal cases. On the other hand, the media also has the potential to exacerbate social tensions, especially when it comes to sensitive or controversial legal issues. In some cases, unbalanced or sensational reporting can worsen the atmosphere and trigger distrust of the ongoing legal process. Therefore, the existence of media that is responsible and has integrity in presenting information is very important to maintain the quality of fair and transparent law enforcement (Wasistha, 2025).

In this digital era, with so much information circulating, it is important for law enforcement agencies and the public to have the ability to sort out correct and relevant information, and prevent the spread of information that can damage the legal process (Habibie, 2018; Nurjanah et al., 2021). Therefore, it is important to explore further how the mass media system interacts with law enforcement, and how the media can be utilized optimally to support the creation of a transparent, fair, and

accountable legal system. This study aims to examine in depth the influence of mass media on law enforcement in the global information era, as well as the challenges faced in maintaining a balance between freedom of information and the right to a fair legal process (Mustameer, 2022). In Indonesia, various important legal cases show how public pressure through media coverage can accelerate the legal process or even shape public opinion that precedes a court decision. In this context, the role of the media is no longer neutral, but is full of political, economic, and ideological interests. Therefore, it is important to examine how mass media in the information era plays a role in the dynamics of law enforcement, as well as how society and the state can respond to the relationship between information and justice more wisely. This study is relevant and urgent to be carried out, considering the increasingly close relationship between digital space, freedom of expression, and legal principles. By understanding the strategic and ambivalent role of the mass media, it is hoped that this article can contribute to the formulation of a fair, educational, and civilized legal communication strategy (Farid, 2023; Kodoati & Maida, 2023).

METHODS

This study was conducted using a descriptive qualitative approach, which aims to comprehensively understand how the mass media system plays a role and influences the dynamics of law enforcement in the context of the digital information era. The qualitative approach was chosen because it is able to deeply reveal the meaning, context, and complexity of the interaction between the media, the public, and legal institutions, which cannot be explained quantitatively alone. The data collection method was carried out through library research and content analysis. The literature study includes a review of relevant scientific literature, including academic journals, books, and legal documents, which discuss topics on mass communication, the legal system, public opinion, and the phenomenon of trial by media. Content analysis was conducted on online news from national media covering important legal cases in the last 5-10 years, especially cases that stand out in terms of the influence of public opinion on the legal process (such as viral criminal cases, controversial trials, or legal political conflicts) (Muhtar & Rohman, 2023; Engkizar et al., 2021, 2023; Husni, 2021; Lestari et al., 2021; Oktavia et al., 2024). News was analyzed based on framing elements, dominant narratives, and the frequency of presentation of legal issues. To strengthen the validity of the analysis, the researcher also compared news data from several media with different orientations (government, private, and independent media). Purposive sampling technique used to select media and legal cases that are considered representative, namely those that have a large influence on public perception and trigger responses from law enforcement officers. In addition, supporting documents such as official statements from legal institutions, court decisions, and responses from civil society are also used as triangulation of data sources. With this method, research is expected to be able to produce findings that not only explain the role of the media descriptively, but also critically examine its potential impact on legal principles such as the presumption of innocence, judicial independence, and substantive justice.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

First, mass media acts as a channel for legal information to the public. Mass media in the information era has become the main source for the public to access news and developments in the legal world. This informative function is very vital, considering that the general public does not have direct access to the trial process or complex legal documents (Hidayatullah et al., 2023; Kurniati et al., 2025). Through the media whether print, television, or digital platforms such as news portals and social media legal information can be delivered quickly, widely, and relatively easily

understood. This strengthens the position of the media as a liaison between law enforcement institutions and civil society, as well as a means of institutional transparency. For example, when the media reports on the trial process of a major criminal case or an investigation into a corruption case, the public can follow these developments and judge for themselves whether the legal process is running fairly and openly. Thus, the mass media contributes to the horizontal oversight function, namely a form of public control over state power, including the judiciary and police. The media also has the power to form a “legal atmosphere” in society, namely encouraging a legal culture that is more aware of the rights and obligations of citizens (Isnaini, 2017; Ramadhan & Widiananda, 2024).

However, this role is not always carried out in a neutral and educational manner. On the one hand, high-quality news reporting can improve public understanding of legal principles, legal terms, and the criminal justice system. On the other hand, many media outlets present legal information in a sensational, shallow, or biased format, especially when it comes to cases involving public figures or political issues (Afifah & Warjiyati, 2024). Click bait headlines, emotional narratives, and disproportionate depictions often lead the public to misunderstand the facts of a case. Therefore, the role of the media as a channel for legal information must be accompanied by the responsibility of journalistic professionalism, including the principles of accuracy, balance, and independence. Healthy law enforcement requires media that are not only fast in delivering news, but also educate the public to understand the principles of justice in their entirety (Waluyo, 2018). Without good quality information, the public can get lost in opinions built by media narratives, not based on objective legal facts.

Second, the media also functions as a social watchdog (*social control*) towards legal institutions. The mass media has an important role as a tool social control towards the work of legal institutions such as the police, prosecutors, courts, and anti-corruption agencies (Srijadi et al., 2024). In this capacity, the media does not merely convey information, but also carries out a control function over the behavior of law enforcement officers, and monitors whether the legal process is carried out fairly, transparently, and in accordance with constitutional principles. Through in-depth and investigative coverage, the media can reveal violations of procedures, abuse of authority, or indications of corruption in legal institutions. For example, in a number of cases, such as delays in handling cases of sexual violence, bribery practices in the prosecutor's office, or unfair treatment of suspects, the sharp spotlight from the media has encouraged public pressure that has led to changes in policy or acceleration of the legal process (Mahanani dkk, 2019). This function makes the media an external actor that also maintaining vertical accountability law enforcement agencies towards the wider community.

In addition, the media also provides a public sphere where the public can voice criticism and hopes for the justice system. When public trust in the law is being eroded, the media can be a catalyst to rebuild that trust by showing that there is independent oversight that operates outside the formal legal system (Erickson, 2020). However, this oversight function is vulnerable to bias and conflicts of interest. It is not uncommon for the media to frame legal institutions unilaterally, either because of political motives, the interests of media owners, or because of limited access to information. If social control is carried out unprofessionally, what will happen is not an improvement in the legal system, but rather a decline in the quality of justice due to mass pressure or erroneous public opinion. Therefore, the effectiveness of the media as a

tool of social control is highly dependent on editorial independence, the quality of investigative journalism, and the legal literacy of journalists and audiences (Dempsey, 1997). Thus, the media as a social watchdog can be a strategic partner for legal institutions in maintaining the integrity and trust of the public. However, in order for its function to be effective and balanced, the media needs to work on the principles of journalistic ethics, supported by a regulatory framework that guarantees freedom of the press without sacrificing procedural justice (Engkizar et al., 2024).

Third, the media tends to use certain framing in presenting legal news. In practice, the mass media is never completely neutral in presenting legal information to the public. One of the mechanisms used by the media is framing, which is a way of composing, highlighting, or packaging information to form a certain perception of an issue. In the context of legal reporting, framing functions as a tool to form a social construction of a legal event, whether the perpetrator is seen as a victim, whether a legal process is considered fair, or whether law enforcement institutions are carrying out their duties properly or otherwise. The media often uses selective framing, for example by choosing emotional words, highlighting one side of a particular party, displaying images or quotes that shape public sympathy or antipathy, and emphasizing dramatic or sensational aspects in legal cases (Sujatmiko & Soesaty, 2025). For example, suspects in major legal cases are often described with terms such as “big fugitives,” “main actors,” or “legal mafia,” even though the trial process has not yet begun. On the other hand, victims are sometimes depicted sentimentally and excessively, to the point of causing social pressure on the ongoing legal process.

Framing by the media can produce cognitive bias in society. Public opinion is formed not from a complete understanding of the legal process, but from media narratives that are formed based on editorial, political, or economic interests. In many cases, the public already has a “social verdict” before the court verdict is handed down, and this is what is known as the trial by media phenomenon. As a result, important principles in law such as *presumption of innocence* (presumption of innocence) is ignored. In addition, media framing can also influence the response of law enforcement institutions. The pressure of public opinion formed by media narratives can encourage law enforcement officers to make reactive decisions, not based on objective legal analysis (Bora, 2024). In some cases, officers become very careful or even repressive in handling cases because they are afraid of being considered biased if they go against the flow of media opinion. Thus, media framing in legal reporting is not just a journalistic technique, but a force that is capable of directing opinion and even influencing the course of justice. Therefore, it is important for journalists to present legal news by considering the principles of proportionality, balance, and caution in the use of language, and it is important for the public to have media literacy so as not to immediately believe the narrative presented without clarification or understanding of the actual legal process (Wulandari et al., 2025).

Fourth, the existence of inequality in access and media bias is another factor that needs to be considered. In the context of law enforcement in the digital era, one of the crucial issues is inequality in access to media. And bias in reporting, which has an impact on information injustice in the public space (Darmawan, 2024). This inequality of access refers to the fact that not all parties, both individuals and institutions, have the same ability to access, manage, and utilize mass media as a means of defending, clarifying, or conveying their version of the narrative. Parties who have strong connections with the media, economic resources, or political power

tend to be more advantaged in shaping public opinion on a legal case. For example, public figures, officials, or large institutions often get a wide stage to provide clarification in the mainstream media, while other parties such as victims from marginalized groups or defendants from economically disadvantaged groups do not have the same space and opportunity to speak out (Onainor, 2019). This inequality creates distortion in the legal narrative, because only some voices are heard, while other voices are pushed aside or muffled. As a result, the public does not get a complete picture of a legal case, but only sees a portrait presented unilaterally by the media that sides with certain actors (Hussain & Ihwani, 2021).

Furthermore, media bias or *media bias* often arises due to ideological affiliation, media owner interests, sponsor pressure, or certain political influences. The media no longer acts as a presenter of objective information, but rather as a tool to strengthen the narrative of a particular group, defend political interests, or even systematically attack opponents. In legal cases that are full of interests, such as cases of political corruption or land conflicts, media coverage often shows a tendency to defend certain figures or create a bad image of other parties, without presenting balanced legal facts. This condition has serious implications for legal justice and information democracy (Arifin, 2018). Media that takes sides tends to blur the line between fact and opinion, so that the public is trapped in misleading framing. In fact, in some cases, unfair reporting can worsen the social atmosphere and increase conflict. Therefore, there needs to be strengthening of the principle of media independence, improving journalistic ethics, and monitoring of media conflicts of interest so that the legal information conveyed is truly fair, balanced, and reflects the integrity of professional journalism.

Fifth, digital media and social media have become new means of rapidly disseminating legal information, but are also prone to the spread of disinformation and legal hoaxes. The development of digital media and social media has revolutionized the way people access and share information, including on legal issues. Platforms such as YouTube, Instagram, Tik Tok, X (Twitter), and Facebook are now not only a means of entertainment and communication, but also the main space for public discussion and the dissemination of legal information instantly, in real time, and virally. The speed of information dissemination through social media can provide advantages in voicing justice, exposing legal inequalities, and encouraging public participation in a case (Mujahidin, 2022; Teriasi et al., 2023). However, the ease of access and the absence of strict information verification mechanisms on social media make it fertile ground for the spread of disinformation, misinformation, and legal hoaxes. Much of the legal content spread on social media is not based on legal facts or correct legal processes, but rather on one-sided opinions, out-of-context video clips, manipulated narratives, or even baseless claims. This has the potential to create public confusion, form a negative stigma against certain parties, and complicate the ongoing legal process.

Legal disinformation that spreads virally can damage the reputation of legal institutions, assassinate someone's character before the court has issued a verdict, or even cause unhealthy mass pressure on the trial process (Rahmah et al., 2024). In many cases, the public tends to believe viral narratives more than official statements from law enforcement officers. When public trust is more inclined to unverified sources of information, then the legitimacy of legal processes can be seriously disrupted. In addition, social media also allows the birth of phenomena *echo chamber* and *filter bubble*, which is a condition when someone is only exposed to information that is in line with their own views, thus strengthening prejudice and inhibiting objectivity in viewing a legal case. In this context, legal information is no longer understood rationally, but is consumed as part of a group identity or collective emotional sentiment. In response to this phenomenon, systematic efforts are needed

to improve digital literacy and legal literacy in society, as well as encourage digital platforms to be responsible in countering legal hoax content through moderation, clarification, and public education. On the other hand, law enforcement officers and judicial institutions must also be adaptive in utilizing digital media wisely to build transparent, responsive, and accountable communication (Engkizar et al., 2021; Shokri & Salihan, 2023).

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the study, it can be concluded that communication in the Qur'an is not only informative, but also normative and transformative. The principles of Qur'anic communication such as *proverb ṣadīdan* (correct words), *Qawlan Karīman* (noble words), *saying the lines* (soft words), and *the reward for all this is great* (dialogue in the best way), shows that communication in Islam highly upholds honesty, ethics, gentleness, and a wise approach in conveying messages. These principles not only shape the behavior of individuals who are moral, but also play an important role in fostering a harmonious, respectful, and socially responsible society. The values of Qur'anic communication have proven effective in changing people's behavior, both in a personal context (such as politeness and empathy) and in a collective context (such as tolerance, openness to dialogue, and rejection of verbal violence). In the current digital era and moral crisis, reviving the principles of Qur'anic communication is an urgent need as part of the strategy for fostering morals and character education of the nation. Thus, the Qur'an not only provides theological guidance, but also contributes an ethical, solution-oriented, and relevant communication paradigm to form a civil society. This research is expected to be the basis for the development of da'wah communication, Islamic education, and social practices that are more dignified and based on divine values.

REFERENCES

- Addila, C. Z., & Nurcahyono, A. (2025). Pengaruh Kasus Viral dan Tuntutan Masyarakat Melalui Media Sosial Terhadap Penegakan Hukum di Indonesia: Studi Kasus Pemerkosaan dan Pembunuhan Siswi AA di Kuburan Cina Palembang. *J-CEKI : Jurnal Cendekia Ilmiah*, 4(2), 2349–2363. <https://ulilalbabinstitute.id/index.php/J-CEKI/article/view/7574>
- Afif Muhtar, A., & Rohman, M. (2023). Media dalam Kehidupan Masyarakat. *Indo-MathEdu Intellectuals Journal*, 4(3), 2976–2985. <https://doi.org/10.54373/imeij.v4i3.508>
- Afifah, F., & Warjiyati, S. (2024). Tujuan, Fungsi, dan Kedudukan Hukum. *Jurnal Ilmu Hukum Wijaya Putra*, 2(2), 142–152. <https://mh.uma.ac.id/analisa-konsep->
- Ahmad Salman Farid. (2023). Peran Media Massa Dalam Memoderasi Dialog Politik. *ALADALAH: Jurnal Politik, Sosial, Hukum Dan Humaniora*, 1(3), 151–161. <https://doi.org/10.59246/aladalah.v1i3.343>
- Al Mustaqim, D., Abdul Hakim, F., Atfalina, H., & Fatakh, A. (2024). Peran Media Sosial Sebagai Sarana Partisipasi Warganet Dalam Mewujudkan Keadilan dan Akuntabilitas Penegakan Hukum di Indonesia. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*, 1(1), 53–66. <https://doi.org/10.56916/jmrd.v1i1.655>
- Anajeng Esri Edhi Mahanani dkk. (2019). Kausalitas Kesadaran dan Budaya Hukum dalam Membentuk Kepatuhan Hukum Kebijakan Penanggulangan Covid-19. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(1), 1–14.
- Arifin, Z. (2018). Al-Ghazali's Thought of Islamic Education And it's Relevance with the Modern Education. *Khalifa: Journal of Islamic Education*, 2(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.24036/kjie.v2i1.18>
- Bora, A. (2024). Digital Media Dynamics: Ethical Challenges and Accountability in the Information Age. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 7(4).

- <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4941195>
- Darmawan, R. (2024). Hakikat Filsafat Pendidikan Karakter Dalam Membentuk Kepribadian Muslim dan Relevansinya Dengan Pendidikan Islam Kontemporer. *Jurnal Jendela Pendidikan*, 4(01), 18–28. <https://doi.org/10.57008/jjp.v4i01.653>
- Dempsey, J. X. (1997). Communications Privacy in the Digital Age: Revitalizing the Federal Wiretap Laws to Enhance Privacy. *Alb. LJ Sci. & Tech.*, 8, 65. http://heinonlinebackup.com/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/albnyst8§ion=7
- Ediz, M. H., Khairat, H., & Nur, D. (2025). Six Motives of Polygamists in Indonesia. *International Journal of Islamic Studies Higher Education*, 4(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.24036/insight.v3i3.216>
- Enggarratri, I. D. (2017). Peran Media Massa Sebagai Pendukung Citra Organisasi. *WACANA, Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Komunikasi*, 16(1), 43. <https://doi.org/10.32509/wacana.v16i1.9>
- Engkizar, E., Jaafar, A., Sarianto, D., Ayad, N., Rahman, A., Febriani, A., & Rahman, I. (2024). Analysis of Quran Education Problems in Majority Muslim Countries. *International Journal of Islamic Studies Higher Education*, 3(1), 65–80. <https://doi.org/10.24036/insight.v3i1.209>
- Engkizar, E., Jaafar, A., Taufan, M., Rahman, I., Oktavia, G., & Guspita, R. (2023). Quran Teacher: Future Profession or Devotion to the Ummah? *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research of Higher Education (IJMURHICA)*, 6(4), 196–210. <https://doi.org/10.24036/ijmurhica.v6i4.321>
- Engkizar, E., K, M., Kaputra, S., Arifin, Z., Syafril, S., Anwar, F., & Mutathahirin, M. (2021). Building of Family-based Islamic Character for Children in Tablighi Jamaat Community. *Ta'dib*, 24(2), 299. <https://doi.org/10.31958/jt.v24i2.4847>
- Erickson, R. V. (2020). Mass media, crime, law, and justice: An institutional approach. *The British Journal of Criminology*, 31(3), 219–249.
- Habibie, D. K. (2018). Dwi Fungsi Media Massa. *Interaksi: Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi*, 7(2), 79. <https://doi.org/10.14710/interaksi.7.2.79-86>
- Haekal Amalin Firdany Putra, Jeremy Arnold Christian Bangun, Firwanda Sandi Pradipta, & Elsi Kartika Sari. (2025). Membangun Budaya Hukum Yang Kuat Untuk Mendukung Supremasi Hukum. *Al-Zayn : Jurnal Ilmu Sosial & Hukum*, 3(2), 983–990. <https://doi.org/10.61104/alz.v3i2.1256>
- Hamdan Mustameer. (2022). Penegakan Hukum Nasional dan Hukum Internasional Terhadap Kejahatan Cyber Espionage Pada Era Society 5.0. *Jurnal Yustika: Media Hukum Dan Keadilan*, 25(01), 40–53. <https://doi.org/10.24123/yustika.v25i01.5090>
- Helmalia, H., Masdupi, E., & Rasyid, R. (2020). Determinants of Strategy Agility and Performance of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Halal Industry. *International Journal of Islamic Studies Higher Education*, 4(1), 31–45. <https://doi.org/10.24036/insight.v4i1.197>
- Hidayatullah, M., Suherman, A., & Salam, A. (2023). Peran Humas Polres Kota Baubau Dalam Menyampaikan Informasi Publik. *Journal Of Social Science Research*, 3(4), 3211–3221. <https://j-innovative.org/index.php/Innovative/article/view/3878>
- Husni, M. Z. (n.d.). Media Massa, Industri, dan Dakwah Islam. *Nusantara: Indonesian Journal of Islamic Studies*, 2(1), 123–134.
- Hussain, F., & Ihwani, S. S. (2021). Hubungan Keagamaan dan Kerohanian Terhadap Daya Tahan Pekerja Tamat Kontrak Perkhidmatan. In *Seminar Inovasi Pendidikan Islam dan Bahasa Arab 2021* (pp. 187–196). [http://irep.iium.edu.my/93415/4/SIPIBA 2021 eProsiding.pdf#page=196](http://irep.iium.edu.my/93415/4/SIPIBA%2021%20eProsiding.pdf#page=196)
- Isnaini, E. (2017). Tinjauan Yuridis Normatif Perjudian Online Menurut Hukum Positif Di Indonesia. *Jurnal Independent*, 5(1), 23.

- <https://doi.org/10.30736/ji.v5i1.61>
- Kodoati, M. C., & Maida, S. T. (2023). Defisit Kritis Media Massa: Menimbang Kebutuhan Akan Regulasi Diri Media Massa Berdasarkan Pemikiran J  rgen Habermas. *Dialektika Komunika: Jurnal Kajian Komunikasi Dan Pembangunan Daerah*, 11(1), 26–41. <https://doi.org/10.33592/dk.v11i1.3534>
- Kurniati, P., Nursyamsiah, S., Barokah, A., & Saryono, S. (2025). Peran Strategis Media Massa dalam Mengungkap Kasus Pungli: Transparansi, Akuntabilitas, dan Pendidikan Antikorupsi dalam Tata Kelola Pemerintahan. *Jurnal Citizenship Virtues*, 5(1), 62–69. <https://doi.org/10.37640/jcv.v5i1.2289>
- Lestari, Syafril, S., Latifah, S., Engkizar, E., Damri, D., Asril, Z., & Yaumas, N. E. (2021). Hybrid learning on problem-solving abilities in physics learning: A literature review. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1796(1), 12021. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1796/1/012021>
- Mujahidin. (2022). Efektivitas Metode Ummi Dalam Meningkatkan Kemampuan Membaca Al-Qur'an Peserta Didik Di Sekolah Menengah Pertama Islam Terpadu Ar Rahman Petukangan Utara, Pesanggrahan, Jakarta Selatan. In *Thesis* (Vol. 7, Issue 1, pp. 1–8). <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00232-014-9701-9><http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00232-014-9700-x><http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmr.2008.11.017><http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1090780708003674><http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1191>
- Oktavia, G., Febriani, A., Asril, Z., & Syafril, S. (2024). Analysis of Quran Memorization Methods : Academically Talented Students. *Journal of Theory and Research Memorization Quran*, 1(1), 40–50.
- Onainor, E. R. (2019). *Pengaruh Kesediaan Dalaman, Strategi Pembangunan Dalaman, Strategi Latihan Dan Strategi Menghafaz Al-Qur'an Terhadap Pencapaian Subjek Hifz Al-Qur'an Pelajar Tahfiz Di Malaysia* (Vol. 1, pp. 105–112).
- Pakina, R., & Solekhan, M. (2024). Pengaruh Teknologi Informasi Terhadap Hukum Privasi Dan Pengawasan Di Indonesia: Keseimbangan Antara Keamanan Dan Hak Asasi Manusia. *Journal of Sciencetech Research and Development*, 6(1), 273–286.
- Rahmah, N., Tarigan, A. A., & Nasution, yenni S. J. (2024). Larangan Jual Beli Dalam Perspektif Qur ' an Surah Al Maidah Ayat 90 -91. *INNOVATIVE: Journal Of Social Science Research*, 4(1), 9956–9967. <https://doi.org/10.31004/innovative.v4i1.7783>
- Ramadhan, S., & Widiananda, F. (2024). *Pendidik Dan Peserta Didik: Peran Dan Hubungannya Dalam Proses Pendidikan* (Vol. 7, Issue 5). *Jurnal Psikologi Dan Bimbingan Konseling*. <https://doi.org/10.3287/Liberosis.V7i5.8182>
- Shokri, S. S. M., & Salihan, S. (2023). Modul pembangunan Program Huffaz Profesional Universiti Tenaga Nasional: Satu pemerhatian kepada konstruk pembangunan Al-Quran. *Firdaus Journal*, 3(2), 12–23.
- Sinaga, N. A., & Zaluchu, T. (2021). Perlindungan Hukum Hak-Hak Pekerja Dalam Hubungan Ketenagakerjaan Di Indonesia. In *Jurnal Teknologi Industri* (Vol. 6, Issue 0, pp. 56–70). <https://doi.org/10.35968/jti.v6i0.754>
- Siti Fatimah, & Aisyah Rukmi Widowati. (2024). Hukum vs Netizen: Tata Kelola Lembaga Komdigi Dalam Penegakan Demokrasi Pancasila Di Era Konvergensi Media. *Prosiding Konferensi Nasional APHTN-HAN Asosiasi Pengajar Hukum Tata Negara Dan Hukum Adminsitasi Negara*, 2(1), 400–423.
- Siti Nurjanah, M. M., Siti Aisyah, J., & Jimaain, S. (2021). Tahap Pelaksanaan Aktiviti Hafazan dalam Kalangan Guru Tahfiz Sekolah Menengah [The Implementation Level of Memorisation Activities Among Secondary School Teachers]. *BITARA International Journal of Civilizational Studies and Human Sciences*, 4(1), 009–021. <http://www.bitarajournal.com>
- Srijadi, Y. K., Abadi, I., Zulkarnaen, I., Denatara, E. T., Budiati, A., Nurani, J.,

- Sunjaya, T., & Endrow, S. (2024). Aspek Kriminologis Tentang Peranan Masyarakat Dalam Pengendalian Sosial Di Desa Sekarwangi Kecamatan Cibadak Kabupaten Sukabumi. *Jurnal Kajian Ilmiah*, 23(4), 349–356. <https://doi.org/10.31599/t0910772>
- Sujatmiko, B., & Soesatyo, B. (2025). The Urgency of Using Electronic Evidence in Trials as an Effort to Answer the Challenges of Law Enforcement in the Digital Era and Social Media Dynamics. *Asian Journal of Social and Humanities*, 3(9), 1604–1613. <https://doi.org/10.59888/ajosh.v3i9.567>
- Teriasi, R., Agama, I., Negeri, K., & Raya, P. (2023). Fungsi pendampingan pastoral pada ritual kematian: Sebuah perspektif sosiologis-teologis pada budaya suku Dayak Ngaju. *Kurios*, 9(1), 184–194. <https://doi.org/10.30995/kur.v9i1.675>
- Waluyo, D. (2018). Makna Jurnalisme Dalam Era Digital : Suatu Peluang Dan Transformasi. *Diakom: Jurnal Media Dan Komunikasi*, 1(1), 33–42. <https://doi.org/10.17933/diakom.v1i1.17>
- Wasistha, C. H. (n.d.). The Role Of Mass Media In Building An Anti-Corruption Culture In Society: Peran Media Massa Dalam Membangun Budaya Anti Korupsi di Masyarakat. *Journal Ius Constitutum*, 1(2), 49–63.
- Wulandari, W., El Millah, S., Lubis, T., & Arifin, Z. (2025). Seven Challenges for Arabic Department Students in Memorizing Hadith. *Journal of Arabic Literature, Teaching and Learning*, 1(1), 1–13.

Copyright holder :

© Dani, I., Armadila, Andika, R.

First publication right:

Journal of Islamic Communication and Journalism

This article is licensed under:

CC-BY-SA